#### 1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) SULLIVAN, LLP mmao@bsfllp.com Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice) 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com San Francisco, CA 94104 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Telephone: (415) 293 6858 Chicago, IL 60606 5 Facsimile: (415) 999 9695 Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401 6 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice) Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice) Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) srabin@susmangodfrey.com violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor New York, NY 10019 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (212) 336-8330 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 10 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 MORGAN & MORGAN 11 John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice) 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065 rmcgee@forthepeople.com 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Tampa, FL 33602 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 14 Telephone: (813) 223-5505 15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below listed in signature blocks below 16 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION 19 Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK 20 CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, JOINT SUBMISSION IN RESPONSE TO JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER 21 **DKT. 183, 246 RE: SEALING PORTIONS** CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, **OF JUNE 2, 2021 HEARING** individually and on behalf of all similarly 22 **TRANSCRIPT** situated, 23 Plaintiffs, Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ 24 v. 25 GOOGLE LLC, 26 Defendant. 27 28

- 1				
1	August 19, 2021			
2	Submitted via ECF			
3 4 5	Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen San Jose Courthouse Courtroom 6 - 4th Floor 280 South 1st Street San Jose, CA 95113			
6 7	Re: Joint Submission in Response to Dkt. 183, 246 re: Sealing Portions of June 2, 2021 Hearing Transcript Brown v. Google LLC, Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK (N.D. Cal.)			
8	Dear Magistrate Judge van Keulen:			
9	Pursuant to Your Honor's June 1, 2021 Order on Google's Administrative Motion to Seal			
10	Courtroom for June 2, 2021 Discovery Hearing (Dkt. 182, 183), and August 13, 2021 Order			
11	Extending Time for Submitting Proposed Redactions to Transcript of June 2, 2021 Hearing (Dkt.			
12	246), Plaintiffs and Google LLC ("Google") jointly submit this statement regarding sealing portions			
13	of the June 2, 2021 hearing transcript.			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Google respectfully seeks to seal the following portions of the June 2, 2021 Hearing Transcript ("Transcript"), which contain Google's confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly, including: the various types of Google's internal policies, internal data structures, identifiers/cookies and their proprietary functions. This Court has previously sealed the same or substantively similar information, including in Dkt. Nos. 143, 152, 160, 172, 174, 183, 190, 197, 226. This information is highly confidential and should be protected.

This Administrative Motion pertains to the following information contained in the Transcript:

Document	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
June 2, 2021 Hearing Transcript	Portions Highlighted in Yellow at 13:2, 13:8, 13:11, 32:18-20, 34:10, 34:23-25, 36:23-37:3, 37:8-11, 37:15-16, 37:19-20, 37:22-24, 38:3-5, 46:3-11, 46:14-15, 46:17-23, 46:25, 47:1, 70:20, 70:24, 71:2.	Google

The parties conferred on the proposed redactions to the Transcript. Plaintiffs believe there is no basis for any redactions, but they nonetheless do not oppose Google's motion to seal.

## I. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial records in a civil case is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as reservoirs of . . . sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing"). The right to access is further diminished where, as here, a party seeks to prevent the disclosure of information discussed during a hearing on a non-dispositive discovery motion; rather than the more stringent "compelling reasons" standard, a party seeking to seal materials in these circumstances must make only a "particularized showing" of "good cause." *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–80 (9th Cir. 2006). A "strong presumption of access" does *not* apply to sealed discovery documents attached to non-dispositive motions; a "party seeking disclosure must present sufficiently compelling reasons why the sealed

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

discovery document should be released." Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. General Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002). Sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc., 2014 WL 4965995, at \*4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information").

### THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS EASILY MEET THE "GOOD CAUSE" II. STANDARD AND SHOULD ALL BE SEALED

Although the materials that Google seeks to seal here easily meet the higher "compelling reasons" standard, the Court need only consider whether these materials meet the lower "good cause" standard. Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." Nixon, 435 U.S. at 589-99. Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that "contain[] confidential information about the operation of [the party's] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information." Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc., 2014 WL 6986068, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014). Materials that could harm a litigant's competitive standing may be sealed even under the "compelling reasons" standard. See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc., 2015 WL 984121, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015) (information "is appropriately sealable under the 'compelling reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive disadvantage") (citation omitted).

Here, the Transcript comprises confidential information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly. Specifically, this information provides details related to the various types of Google's internal policies, internal data structures, internal identifiers/cookies and their proprietary functions. Such information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services while complying with legal and privacy obligations.

Public disclosure of the above-listed information would harm Google's competitive standing it

has earned through years of innovation and careful deliberation, by revealing sensitive aspects of

Google's proprietary systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. That alone is

a proper basis to seal such information. See, e.g., Free Range Content, Inc. v. Google Inc., No. 14-cv-

02329-BLF, Dkt. No. 192, at 3-9 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2017) (granting Google's motion to seal certain sensitive business information related to Google's processes and policies to ensure the integrity and security of a different advertising system); *Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 3:16-cv-02787-WHO, Dkt. No. 446, at 19 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2019) (sealing confidential sales data because "disclosure would harm their competitive standing by giving competitors insight they do not have"); *Trotsky v. Travelers Indem. Co.*, 2013 WL 12116153, at \*8 (W.D. Wash. May 8, 2013) (granting motion to seal as to "internal research results that disclose statistical coding that is not publically available").

Moreover, if publicly disclosed, malicious actors may use such information to seek to compromise Google's internal data structures and internal identifier systems. Google would be placed at an increased risk of cyber security threats, and data related to browsing of users could similarly be at risk. *See, e.g., In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig.*, 2013 WL 5366963, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects

compromise Google's internal data structures and internal identifier systems. Google would be placed at an increased risk of cyber security threats, and data related to browsing of users could similarly be at risk. *See, e.g., In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig.*, 2013 WL 5366963, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects how messages are transmitted" because if made public, it "could lead to a breach in the security of the Gmail system"). The security threat is an additional reason for this Court to seal the identified information. The information Google seeks to redact, including internal policies, internal data structures, internal identifiers/cookies and their functionalities, is the minimal amount of information needed to protect its internal systems and operations from being exposed to not only its competitors but also to nefarious actors who may improperly seek access to and disrupt these systems and operations. The "good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard, Google's sealing request is warranted.

## III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified portions of the Transcript.

1		
2		Respectfully,
3		
4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
5	/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro	/s/ Amanda Bonn
6	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com	Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com
7	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606	Sean Phillips Rodriguez (CA Bar No. 262437)
8	Tel: (312) 705-7400 Fax: (312) 705-7401	srodriguez@bsfllp.com Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. 238027)
9	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	brichardson@bsfllp.com
10	<u>sb@quinnemanuel.com</u> Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	44 Montgomery Street, 41 <sup>st</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
11	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Tel: (415) 293 6858 Fax: (415) 999 9695
12	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 443-3000	James W. Lee (pro hac vice)
13	Fax: (213) 443-3100	<u>jlee@bsfllp.com</u> Rossana Baeza ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
14	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	<u>rbaeza@bsfllp.com</u> 100 SE 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 2800
15	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor   Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Miami, FL 33130 Tel: (305) 539-8400
16	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Fax: (305) 539-1304
17	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice)
18	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
19	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010	Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice) srabin@susmangodfrey.com
20	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Steven Shepard (pro hac vice) sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
	Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	Alexander P. Frawley ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
21	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
22	Carl Spilly (admitted pro hac vice) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor New York, NY 10019
23	Washington D.C., 20005	Tel: (212) 336-8330
24	Tel: (202) 538-8000 Fax: (202) 538-8100	Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891) abonn@susmangodfrey.com
25	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
26	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 789-3100
27	San Francisco, CA 94111	
28	Tel: (415) 875-6600 Fax: (415) 875-6700	John A. Yanchunis ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) <u>jyanchunis@forthepeople.com</u> Ryan J. McGee ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )

# 

1 2	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC	rmcgee@forthepeop MORGAN & MORG 201 N Franklin Street	<u>le.com</u> GAN, P.A. et, 7th Floor
3		Tampa, FL 33602 Tel: (813) 223-5505 Fax: (813) 222-4736	
4		Michael F. Ram (CA	Bar No. 104805)
5 6		mram@forthepeople MORGAN & MORG 711 Van Ness Avent San Francisco, CA 9	c.com GAN, P.A.
7		San Francisco, CA 9 Tel: (415) 358-6913	4102
8		Attorneys for Plainti	ffs
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			Com No. 5.20 02//4 LHV CVV
		-6-	Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

1	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE			
2	I am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Submission.			
3	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has			
4	concurred in the filing of this document.			
5				
6	Dated: August 19, 2021 By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro			
7	Andrew H. Schapiro Counsel on behalf of Google LLC			
8				
9   10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
- 1				